

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450-Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/621,897	-	07/17/2003	Joseph M. Jacobson	056754/0119588	1490	
26242	7590	07/14/2006		EXAMINER		
NORMA E			FLETCHER III, WILLIAM P			
13 JEFFERS		NILAW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LONDOND	ERRY, N	NH 03053	1762	=		
				DATE MAILED: 07/14/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)					
			897	JACOBSON ET A	ıL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit					
		William	P. Fletcher III	1762					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	ation appears on t	he cover sheet witl	h the correspondence ad	ldress				
WHIC - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI asions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ication. ory period will apply and by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNIC event, however, may a rep will expire SIX (6) MONT pplication to become ABA	ATION. oly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this c NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 03 Mav 2006.							
·	-	<u> </u>							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1 and 12-22</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)🖂	Claim(s) 2-11 is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/24/2004</u> . (5 pgs)	0-948) 'O/SB/08)	Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PT0	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/621,897 Page 2

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 2-11 in the reply filed on May 3, 2006, is

acknowledged.

2. Claims 1 and 12-22 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR

1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking

claim.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on May 24, 2006 is in compliance

with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being

considered by the examiner.

Drawings

4. The drawings were received on July 17, 2003. These drawings are acceptable for

examination purposes. The drawings have been forwarded to the Draftsperson for review.

Specification

5. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the

presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any

errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,897 Page 3

Art Unit: 1762

7. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

> Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and

requirements of this title.

8. Claims 2-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention.

Claim 2 is indefinite because it recites "A method for replicating a monolayer," A.

but recites no method step requiring/defining replication of the monolayer. Consequently, the

metes and bounds of this claim are impossible to determine.

B. Claim 7 is indefinite because it recites "and other molecules of that family,"

which renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually

disclosed (those encompassed by "or the like"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s)

unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

C. Because a dependent claim necessarily incorporates the limitations of the

independent claim(s) from which it depends, the metes and bounds of the subject matter recited

in claims 2-11 is impossible to determine.

Claim 5 provides for the use of the polymerized monolayer replicant as a template for 9.

creation of at least one additional polymerized monolayer replicant, but, since the claim does not

set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is

intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active,

positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without

setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e.,

results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example Ex parte Dunki, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and Clinical Products, Ltd. v. Brenner, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Conclusion

10. The prompt development of clear issues in the prosecution history requires that applicant's reply to this Office action be fully responsive (MPEP § 714.02). When filing an amendment, applicant should specifically point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including new or amended claims (MPEP §§ 714.02 & 2163). A fully responsive reply to this Office action, if it includes new or amended claims, must therefore include an explicit citation (i.e., page number and line number) of that/those portion(s) of the original disclosure which applicant contends support(s) the new or amended limitation(s).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William P. Fletcher III whose telephone number is (571) 272-1419. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Saturday, 0700h to 1730h.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy H. Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

William Phillip Fletcher III
Patent Examiner (FSA), USPTO

Art Unit 1762

Alexandria, VA July 10, 2006